



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,143	04/26/2007	Karl Reizlein	2400.0640000/VLC	4984
26111	7590	12/24/2009	EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			BROWNE, DAVID	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	1616			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
12/24/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/587,143	REIZLEIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID M. BROWNE	1616

All Participants:(1) DAVID M. BROWNE.**Status of Application:** Abandoned

(3) _____.

(2) ROBERT EDMOND.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2009**Time:** 12:30 PM**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

*None***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Robert Esmond, attorney for Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox PLLC in Washington, DC, was asked by Examiner David M. Browe whether a response was filed to the restriction requirement mailed on April 28, 2009. Mr. Esmond responded that a response was not filed and that an abandonment letter can be sent out. .